

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,421	02/28/2002		Joseph Fitzgerald McDonald	67,023-008 3207		
26096	7590	02/24/2003				
	•	& OLDS, P.C.	EXAMINER			
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009				CYGAN, M	ICHAEL T	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2856		
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

···		Application No		Applicant(s)	-			
•		10/085,421		MCDONALD ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael Cygan		2856				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cove	er sheet with the d	correspondence addres	is			
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANDING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statually received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, how eply within the statutory m d will apply and will expire	wever, may a reply be tir inimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commuon (25 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-	final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	wance except for er Ex parte Quaylo	formal matters, p e, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the m 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is			
•	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo		eration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-18</u> is/are rejected.							
• —	Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 19-22</u> is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requi	rement.					
	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> is/a	are: a)□ accepted	or b)⊠ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be h	neld in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			roved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			· > / I>	•			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (t).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume			e Na				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been re	ceived in Applica	ition No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
	a)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional applic	ation has been re	eceived.				
Attachme			<u>-</u>	(DTO 442) Baser No(e)				
2) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Irmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5)	Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Art Unit: 2856

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 37. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

 Claims 1-11 are objected to because in claim 1, line 13, "said temperature sensor" should be "said pressure sensor", since it corrects measurement based upon pressure. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
  - Claims 12, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akiyama ("Collection of Exhaust Hydrocarbons", March 1994). Akiyama discloses the claimed invention, a method for using the

Application/Control Number: 10/085,421 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

above apparatus comprising sampling exhaust gases, pumping the gases to a canister, measuring the amount of transferred gases with a mass flow controller, heating the canister, pumping the gases to an analyzer, and determining the contents (including long-chain hydrocarbons) of the gas with the analyzer. See entire disclosure, especially abstract and page 116.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
  - 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2856

Claims 1-3, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanashiro (US 6,293,161 B1) in view of Akiyama ("Collection of Exhaust Hydrocarbons", March 1994). Hanashiro discloses an exhaust gas measurement system comprising a probe [209] which collects sampled gas and sends the gas to a sampling container which comprises a stainless steel canister [116] and a polymer bag [104]. A pump [14], flow rate meter [15], and associated pressure sensor [16] are placed in proximity to each other at positions between probe and canister. A temperature sensor [120] corrects measurement by causing the heating elements to maintain an uniform temperature. An exhaust gas analyzer is fluidly connected to the canister. A second pump [41] for creating a vacuum for gas flow to the analyzer is placed between canister and analyzer. A valve assembly [17,50a] selectively connects the canister to the first or second pumps. See entire document, especially Figure 1, columns 7-10, and column 11, lines 9-57. Hanashiro teaches the claimed apparatus except for the placement of a mass flow meter in the oven. Akiyama teaches the placement of the mass flow meter inside the oven. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the mass flow meter inside the oven as taught by Akiyama in the invention taught by Hanashiro to result in a heated, temperature-controlled mass flow meter, since this would eliminate any errors due to unwanted condensation or pressure

Art Unit: 2856

fluctuations. Note also that Hanashiro desires heating of the conduits outside the oven for such a purpose, using extra heaters; see column 8, lines 29-31.

- 5. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanashiro (US 6,293,161 B1) in view of Akiyama ("Collection of Exhaust Hydrocarbons", March 1994) as applied to claims 1-3, and 9 above, and further in view of CFR 40 (1)(C)(86)(N). The claimed invention is taught as expressed above except for the temperature being set to approximately 191 degrees Celsius and for the use of a flame ionization detector GC (GC-FID). CFR 40 (1)(C)(86)(N) teaches the use of 191 degrees Celsius as a temperature for performing exhaust gas sampling and analysis. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a temperature of approximately 191 degrees Celsius and a GC-FID as taught by CFR 40 (1)(C)(86)(N) in the invention taught by Hanashiro, since the use of standardized procedures as set forth by CFR 40 (1)(C)(86)(N) would reduce errors and standardize results for comparison.
- Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanashiro (US 6,293,161 B1) in view of Akiyama ("Collection of Exhaust Hydrocarbons", March 1994) as applied to claims

Art Unit: 2856

1-3, and 9 above, and further in view of Lewis (US 4,823,591). The claimed invention is taught as expressed above except for the use of an additional pump and valving for evacuation of the sampling chambers. Lewis teaches an additional pump [64] with associated valving fluidly connected to the sampling chambers for exhausting the chambers (see Figure 2). It would have been obvious to use an additional pump [64] with associated valving fluidly connected to the sampling chambers for exhausting the chambers as taught by Lewis in the invention of Hanashiro to perform the canister exhausting function, since this would provide a direct exhaust of the entire flow path without the complicated valving and conduits required by Hanashiro which forces exhausting and analyzing to be done by only a single pump through a complicated pipe and valve network.

7. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama ("Collection of Exhaust Hydrocarbons", March 1994) in view of CFR 40 (1)(C)(86)(N). The claimed invention is taught as expressed above except for the temperature being set to approximately 191 degrees Celsius. CFR 40 (1)(C)(86)(N) teaches the use of 191 degrees Celsius as a temperature for performing exhaust gas sampling and analysis. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a temperature of

Art Unit: 2856

approximately 191 degrees Celsius as taught by CFR 40 (1)(C)(86)(N) in the invention taught by Akiyama, since the use of standardized procedures as set forth by CFR 40 (1)(C)(86)(N) would reduce errors and standardize results for comparison.

8. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama ("Collection of Exhaust Hydrocarbons", March 1994) in view of Lewis (US 4,823,591). The claimed invention is taught by Akiyama except for adjusting the mass flow controller to more accurately measure the amount of exhaust gases in response to the measured temperature and pressure. Lewis teaches using temperature and pressure measurements to correct the mass flow controller measurements in an exhaust gas sampling and analysis system; see column 7, lines 4-33. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use temperature and pressure measurements to correct the mass flow controller measurements as taught by Lewis in a method as taught by Akiyama to correct mass flow for gas property fluctuations, since temperature and pressure deviations would cause errors in mass flow readings (and thus, the ultimate analysis) if left unchecked.

Art Unit: 2856

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 5-7 and 19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the claims recite the use of a second mass flow controller either interconnected between canister and analyzer or used for measuring the amount of exhaust gas sent to the analyzer which is neither disclosed nor fairly taught in the prior art.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Similar exhaust gas samplers are disclosed by Harvey (US 5,756,360), Bornemann (US 6,282,944 B1), Adachi (JP 11-344435 A), and Kojima (JP 63-83629 A).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is 703-305-0846. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on 703-305-4705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 2856

308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Michael Cygan February 13, 2003